UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Rayshun Demarkus Aldridge	Casa Na 4:44 Cr 202
Defination Adminger	Case No. 1:11 Cr 282
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Rehat the defendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
• •	indings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	
a minor victim	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defenda	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe ant has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternati	ve Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	nt has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	tablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	ve Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	•
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
	f the Reasons for Detention
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: defendant is charged with being a felon in possession of a fir offenses while on parole. He was on bond for state drug and possession of various controlled substances and for fleeing f	the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing rearm and an armed career criminal. He has committed new felony differences charges in July of this year, when he was arrested for from officers. He admitted that he continued to use drugs while on conditions makes him an unsuitable risk for bond in this case.
Part III – Directio	ns Regarding Detention

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 18, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville	
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge	